## Problem Set 2

- Let *P* denote propositional logic. Suppose we add to *P* the axiom schema (A → B) for wffs A, B of *P*. Comment on the consistency of the resulting logical system obtained. A logic system *P* is inconsistent if it is capable of producing ⊥ using the rules of natural deduction.
- 2. An adequate set of connectives is a set such that for every formula there is an equivalent formula with only connectives from that set. For example,  $\{\neg, \lor\}$  is adequate for propositional logic since any occurrence of  $\land$  and  $\rightarrow$  can be removed using the equivalences

$$\begin{split} \varphi &\to \psi \equiv \neg \varphi \lor \psi \\ \varphi \land \psi \equiv \neg (\neg \varphi \lor \neg \psi) \end{split}$$

- Show that  $\{\neg, \wedge\}, \{\neg, \rightarrow\}$  and  $\{\rightarrow, \bot\}$  are adequate sets of connectives.  $(\bot \text{ treated as a nullary connective}).$
- Show that if  $C \subseteq \{\neg, \land, \lor, \rightarrow, \bot\}$  is adequate, then  $\neg \in C$  or  $\bot \in C$ .
- 3. The binary connective *nand*,  $F \downarrow G$ , is defined by the truth table corresponding to  $\neg(F \land G)$ . Show that *nand* is complete that is, it can express all binary boolean connectives.
- 4. The binary connective *xor*,  $F \oplus G$  is defined by the truth table corresponding to  $(\neg F \land G) \lor (F \land \neg G)$ . Show that *xor* is not complete- that is, it cannot express all binary boolean connectives.
- 5. If a contradiction can be derived from a set of formulae, then the set of formulae is said to be inconsistent. Otherwise, the set of formulae is consistent. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of formulae. Show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is consistent iff it is satisfiable.
- 6. Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is an inconsistent set of formulae. For each  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_G$  be the set obtained by removing G from  $\mathcal{F}$ .
  - (a) Prove that for any  $G \in \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_G \vdash \neg G$ , using the previous question.
  - (b) Prove this using a formal proof.